



Research Committee on the History of Sociology
International Sociological Association

CALL FOR PAPERS

Monuments, Relics and Revivals

Warsaw, 6-8 July 2016

The Research Committee on the History of Sociology (RC08) invites all scholars who conduct research on the topic to submit abstracts to our interim conference in 2016. During three days of conference proceedings in Warsaw, a hectic building site of collective memory since the 1989 breakthrough, we would like to discuss the memory of social sciences, and we suggest “Monuments, relics and revivals” to be the main themes of our meeting.

RULES FOR SUBMISSIONS

You may submit a paper until February 15th, 2016. Each submission should include:

1. name, affiliation and contact data of the speaker
2. title of the paper
3. number and title of thematic session, if applicable
4. a short abstract (up to 200 words)

Organizing Committee and Advisory Board together with session organizers will decide upon the proposals until the 15th of March, 2016. Accepted speakers will be notified per email by the end of March 2016. Conference program will be published in May 2016.

REGISTRATION AND CONFERENCE FEES

All participants will be required to register by the end of April, 2016, by sending an email to interim2016@is.uw.edu.pl.

Conference fees will be collected upon registration. Regular fee for ISA Category A countries is EUR 60 (reduced to EUR 30 for students, PH. D. students included, and independent scholars). Regular fee for ISA Category B and C countries as well as for members of Polish Sociological Association in good standing is EUR 40 (reduced to EUR 20 for students, PH. D. students

included, and independent scholars). Membership in Polish Sociological Association is open to all interested parties; for details please contact Jarosław Kiliński (kiliński@chello.pl).

Further details regarding payment of conference fees, reaching the conference venue, suggested accommodation, free-time and sightseeing opportunities, and conference dinner will be distributed per emails and on conference website (<http://www.is.uw.edu.pl/monuments-and-revivals>).

Should you have any questions regarding this call, please contact the conference Secretary, Jakub Motrenko, at interim2016@is.uw.edu.pl.

All presenters of papers in any session organized by the RCHS are expected to be or become members of the RCHS. To non-RCHS members: should you like to present a paper please join the RCHS first. Please contact João Marcelo Ehlert Maia at joao.maia@fgv.br with all your inquiries. RCHS membership fee is only 30 USD for 4 years (and 15 USD for students and non-OECD countries).

CONFERENCE VENUE

2016 RCHS interim conference will take place on 6 through 8 of July, 2016, in the building of College of Liberal Arts of the University of Warsaw, situated at Dobra 72. A map can be found at the conference website (<http://www.is.uw.edu.pl/monuments-and-revivals>).

ORGANIZERS

International Sociological Association, Research Committee on History of Sociology
Institute of Sociology, University of Warsaw
Faculty of 'Artes Liberales', University of Warsaw
Polish Sociological Association

RESEARCH COMMITTEE ON THE HISTORY OF SOCIOLOGY

Please check out our activities and newsletters in ISA'S website: <http://www.isa-sociology.org/rc08.htm>

SESSION DESCRIPTION

Session 1

TITLE: Histories of Sociology in the Global South

ORGANIZER(S): Fran Collyer and João Marcelo E. Maia

CONTACT: fran.collyer@sydney.edu.au, joao.maia@fgv.br

ABSTRACT: History of sociology has been mostly a European subject - we know a lot about sociologists, ideas and concepts produced in Europe and the US, but still lack knowledge about

institutions, sociologists, journals and intellectual traditions that have emerged outside the Northern Hemisphere. This session aims to tackle this problem by exploring alternative histories of sociology from a Southern perspective. Therefore, we welcome papers that discuss sociologists and institutions from the Global South in an historical and comparative perspective or address classical topics in the field from non-traditional perspectives. We are also interested in discussing issues of the geopolitics of knowledge production and North-South relations in the history of sociology.

Session 2

TITLE: History of Sociology under State Socialism in Comparative Perspective

ORGANIZER(S): Matthias Duller

CONTACT: matthias.duller@uni-graz.at

ABSTRACT: The historiography of sociology under state socialism has been dominated by perspectives that emphasize sociology's subordination to the political regimes in which it existed. While it is generally acknowledged that the national histories of sociology in the 'Eastern bloc' vary greatly between countries and periods, analytically careful comparative studies are still vastly absent. As a consequence, few of the persistent claims about the deficiencies of East European sociology, including its lack of autonomy, ideological distortions, theoretical and methodological bias, or the role of censorship and dissidence, have become the object of systematic empirical studies.

This call invites authors to propose conceptual and/or empirical papers that help make claims about the peculiarities of East European sociology under socialism empirically researchable questions of inquiry, preferably *but not necessarily* through comparative approaches. Besides the themes already mentioned possible topics include:

- the relation between political regimes and sociology or sociologists respectively;
- the different roles of sociological research at universities, academies of science and party affiliated research institutes;
- the role of historical turning points;
- the role of sociological traditions;
- the relation between sociology and social reform;
- international contacts of East European sociologists (East-East; East-West);
- the reception of classical and contemporary western sociology in Eastern Europe;
- the relative distribution of theoretical and methodological paradigms;

- the legacy of socialism for East European sociology today.

These and other themes can be addressed within:

- comparisons between sociologies in two or more countries of the Eastern bloc;
- comparisons between sociologies in socialist and capitalist countries;
- comparisons of instances within a single country;
- single case studies as long as they involve explicit discussion about conceptual issues that allow for comparison in principle.

Session 3

TITLE: Classification & Categorization: Historical Perspectives on the Sociological Making of Society

ORGANIZER(S): Léa Renard, Alexander Knoth and Theresa Wobbe

CONTACT: lea.renard@iepg.fr

ABSTRACT: Historical analysis can be applied to all kinds of technical, administrative, scientific, and practical classifications (Desrosières 2010). By building groups of disparate things, categorization, as a core cognitive mechanism, tends to order things in relation to each other, and thus to create hierarchical systems. In the last decades, many studies have focused on the use and the construction of social categories (gender, race) in various social spheres (administration, politics) but only a few on the contribution of science, and especially of sociology, in the production of classification systems. These classification schemes not only reflect reality but also contribute to the production of social order.

The goal of the session is to reflect on the role played by sociological categories, as 'building blocks', in the construction of society. The session welcomes empirical studies investigating either (1) classification and categorization as scientific practices, or (2) the social knowledge included in categories as scientific products.

Session 4

TITLE: Social and Institutional Conditions of Success in Sociology and the Social Sciences. Historical Case Studies

ORGANIZER(S): Thibaud Boncourt & Victor Karady

CONTACT: karadyv@ceu.hu; t.boncourt@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The history of social sciences is commonly told as that of a succession of ‘founding fathers’ and ‘great authors’, of dominant paradigms and methods. These ‘monuments’, however, did not become so prominent necessarily because they were intrinsically better than rival scientists and ideas. Rather, their dominance was the combined product of sociological dynamics of intellectual achievements, their organized dissemination and communication in established networks, the promotional backing of academic institutions, their place within symbolic hierarchies of cultural power and the impact power relations between competing scholarly clusters.

This panel aims to gather papers that look at the various processes by which landmark authors and ideas became recognised as such. Contributions will include studies of institutional developments, the social and academic conditions promoting specific paradigms, patterns of diffusion of prominent authors across national and disciplinary boundaries (like Foucault or Bourdieu) and ways in which paradigms happened to ‘win’ controversies against rival ones. The studies presented will also touch upon the ‘objective’ effects of basic socio-historical variables of elite formation and success as well as professional creativity like gender, social recruitment, residential background, language skills, institutional contacts, place of practice, international networks, etc.

This panel proposal is submitted as part of the INTERCO-SSH research project, which is concerned with the intellectual and institutional history of the social sciences in Europe (<http://interco-ssh.eu/>).

Session 5

TITLE: Mainstream Sociology: More than a Spectre?

ORGANIZER(S): Christian Fleck, Dirk Kaesler and Christian Dayé

CONTACT: christian.daye@aau.at

ABSTRACT: “Mainstream sociology” is a slick and amorphous term. Very generally, it refers to the adherents of a specific paradigm that dominates the discourses of the discipline simply because its adherents are the majority. But what is more, mainstream sociology is a critical notion because it claims that the majority simply follows the well-trodden paths. The implied allegation is that those in the majority are not innovative, but confined in their thinking by rules they do not engage with critically – they just go with the flow. Mainstream sociologists are, in a Kuhnian sense, mere puzzle-solvers.

The fact that this allegation can, in principle, be uttered by both sociologists from the top-end and from the lower levels of academic hierarchies discerns the notion of “mainstream sociology” from the notion of a sociological elite, although, as Calhoun and VanAntwerpen have shown, the term “mainstream sociology” has de facto been used in the United States mainly by those from the lower levels to attack a perceived elite – the Fat Cat sociologists of the later 1960s. But has something like mainstream sociology ever existed – nationally, or globally? Is it only a spectre, a rhetorical device in the battles over the discipline, or can it be of use as an analytical category in writing the history of sociology?

Session 6

TITLE: History on the Methods of Empirical Social Research and Statistics

ORGANIZER(S): Irmela Gorges

CONTACT: i.gorges@fhvr-berlin.de

ABSTRACT: Contributions are welcome that discuss the development of methods of empirical social research or statistics in all countries and all continents of the world. Especially welcome are papers describing and analyzing the development of methods in front of the social, economic or political situation of the country or location that was investigated.

The session is linked to the network on the history of empirical social research and statistics.

Session 7

TITLE: Sociology and War

ORGANIZER(S): Lukasz Dominiak and Włodzimierz Winclawski

CONTACT: lukasz@umk.pl, winc@umk.pl

ABSTRACT: We would like to invite all the interested persons to participate in the session focusing on the topic of war both as the subject matter of social scientific research and as a factor that determines activities and writings of sociologists, psychologists, pedagogues and broadly understood intellectuals. Our aim is to point out to the field of study somewhat neglected in academic works or dealt with simply in categories of material and human loss. However, it is known that even under war conditions (including dislocations, military mobilization, occupation, imprisonments) academic activity was continued, and it is the

specificity of such academic activity that we would like to capture. As interesting contributions will be social studies devoted to war as such as well as to racial or national wars. The latter studies are not very frequent and usually constitute this body of knowledge which seems to be subject of collective and individual oblivion. These not commonly known issues will be discussed at sessions of the Research Committee on History of Sociology Interim Conference.

Session 8

TITLE: Sociologists and Sociology: Autobiographies in the Making of the Discipline

ORGANIZER(S): Anurekha Chari Wagh

CONTACT: anurekhachariwagh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The session will explore to what extent reflexive exercises of sociologists help to define and shape the discipline. The concept of 'self' here describes how sociologists critically engage with their own autobiographical accounts. We thus seek to understand to what extent the professional identity of sociologists is structured through sociologists' personal identities and whether engaging with one's self in terms of autobiographies plays a role in shaping of the discipline of sociology. The session will explore the following research questions:

1. To what extent professional (department, college, university, research center) and personal (race, class, caste, religion, gender etc) locations of sociologists define and structure the manner in which these individuals engage with their discipline?
2. Are professional ethics and the personal identity of a sociologist to be understood as opposite forces?
3. How do sociologists address their personal identities while teaching, researching and performing public intervention?
4. What challenges emerge when an upper class male (or female) sociologist studies and teaches such research issues as Black feminism? Are such questions valid sociological inquiries?
5. Do such questions strengthen the making of the discipline of sociology? If yes, then how do we deal with such issues in our classrooms and in the process of knowledge construction? Do we need to ask ourselves these questions?

While abstracts designed for thematic sessions will be particularly welcome, we expressly invite other talks on topics related to the RCHS activities, and we do not set any limitations on the subject of papers. Accepted papers which are not aligned to one of the thematic sessions listed above will be accommodated in free paper sessions.

Session 9

TITLE: National and global sociology

Organizer: Raf Vanderstraeten

Contact: raf.vanderstraeten@ugent.be

ABSTRACT: In the early-modern era, science was conceived as universal/global science. Often its findings and observations were communicated in Latin, i.e. the *lingua franca* of early-modern science. The nineteenth- and twentieth-century expansion of science went along with a 'nationalisation' of science, with the use of national vernaculars and the genesis of national scholarly communities. Sociology, as one of many academic disciplines, established itself in different ways in different national contexts in the last two centuries.

In certain respects, the dynamics of discipline formation and specialisation nowadays lead again to global networks of science. It would be unjustified to argue that the national level will soon become (or already is) a non-existent entity in the 'world' of science. In a range of respects, the social relevance of the national level has probably augmented in recent times. The dependence of scientific research on state finance has not decreased since the Second World War, while governments have also searched for new ways to increase their influence upon the academic world. Perhaps, however, the increasingly global networks of scientific collaboration and communication will soon make it increasingly difficult to discern distinctive national traditions in disciplines, such as sociology.

For this session, we invite papers that focus on the changing 'geography' of scholarly communities, particularly in the field of sociology. Papers may focus on the trajectories of national communities, of international collaborations, or on the globalization of the discipline and the characteristics of a global sociology. Of interest and relevance in this setting is also the rise of professional associations and journals with a 'regional' or global focus – such as the *European Sociological Association* or the *International Sociological Association* and their respective journals.