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The social sciences in general and the sociology in particular, have for years seen the importance of biological knowledge as a necessary complement to social knowledge. In this sense, the study of the evolution of the social in its different spheres is of special interest.

In this book we want to delve into this perspective in a plural and open way. For this reason, we would like to publish empirical and theoretical texts, and even studies that investigate the social future. For this reason, we have entitled this collective work THE EVOLUTION OF THE SOCIAL WORLD. As we have said, we believe that research perspectives and approaches can be broad and plural, as long as they are concerned with delving into the different evolutionary mechanisms of the great social system.

In this sense, we do not circumscribe ourselves to any epistemological current, nor to any ethical or political current. All quality contributions will be taken into account.

Important dates:


The contributions must be sent to juanr.coca@uva.es.

EDITORIAL POLICY AND REGULARITY

Biosocial World is a scientific collection about biosemiotics and biosociology published by the University of Valladolid. The aim of this collection is to improve both research lines and to be an international reference in these fields. Therefore, Biosocial World particularly accepts papers from biology, sociology, philosophy, and philology, as well as papers following the editorial policy of this collection from other areas.

The Editorial Team must ensure: a) all published research papers have been evaluated by at least two subject specialists; b) that the review process has been fair and impartial. The method of peer review is the most appropriate for the scientific community. When one of the two reviews is negative, a third review will be requested.
Persons who submit a manuscript for review may suggest the names of up to three specialists as peer reviewers. The Editorial Team reserves the right to decide whether to accept or decline these suggestions, and is not required to communicate their decision to the authors.

The authors must ensure that all submitted manuscripts are original and unpublished. The Editorial Team, during the peer review process, will take the due precautions to verify originality and to detect plagiarism, self-plagiarism and redundant publication defined as the complete copy, partial copy or altered copy of work published by the same author in such a way as to make the work appear different.

The Editorial Team must also take appropriate measures to detect data falsification or manipulation. In addition, contents that have undergone peer review must be clearly identified. The Editorial Team must recognize the value of and acknowledge the input of all those involved in the review of manuscripts submitted to the series. In addition Team members should encourage academic authorities to acknowledge peer review activities as part of the scientific process, and should decline to use reviewers who submit reports that are of poor quality, erroneous or disrespectful, or that are delivered after the agreed deadline.

GUIDELINES

Papers which do not comply with all the requisites established in the guidelines will be returned to the authors for their eventual correction. Papers which comply with all the requisites will be subject to a double process of evaluation:

— At first, the Direction Board will make a selection from the texts received, valuing if they meet the applicable requirements associated to quality academic publications.

— In a second moment, papers that have passed the first selection will be submitted to two experts in the field for a blind examination.

In order to maintain anonymity, authors are requested to refrain from referring to themselves in the first person. Should the evaluations be contradictory, the Editorial Board would request a third evaluation and then decide whether to publish the paper. Authors are kindly asked not to submit their paper/chapter to another journal or series until they have received the results of the evaluation.

Papers with two positive reports will be sent to the authors to make the corrections suggested by the evaluators. The authors have a maximum period of one month to return papers which will be published when they are corrected. The average time for the evaluation of the paper is currently six months, an accountant from the date of confirmation of receipt of the same.

If the chapter includes figures, photographs, graphics, maps or any other type of illustration or image, the author must certify in a written and signed document that they are his property or that he has the corresponding reproduction permissions.
Biosocial Word is an open access book’s collection that it is published according to peer reviewed system. It appears 1 times per year. The series doesn’t apply any cost to authors who can submit their texts during the whole year.

THE FOLLOWING STRUCTURE OF PAPERS/CHAPTERS (IMRD) IS REQUIRED:
1. INTRODUCTION.
2. METHODS.
3. RESULTS.
4. DISCUSSION.
5. CONCLUSIONS.
6. REFERENCES.

WHERE TO SEND THE PAPERS?
Authors interested in publishing in Biosocial World should send their papers to director of the collection.

PEER REVIEW
The manuscripts will be reviewed by two blind referees. The reviews are anonymous for authors and reviewers. In this sense, all clues to the identity of the authors must be eliminated throughout the manuscript. Based on the referees’ reports, the decision will be communicated to the first author and, where appropriate, the changes suggested.

PAPERS’ BASIC FEATURES AND REQUIREMENTS

Extension: Papers will have a general extension of 15-20 pages; this limit includes abstracts, references, tables, etc.

Title: In English.

Abstract: No more than 250 words, in English.

Key words: Maximum five terms.


At the beginning of each paragraph, a 0.75 cm tab will be used.
Words at the end of the line will not be manually divided.

The titles of chapters, sections and subsections will be numbered with Arabic numbers in accordance to the following scheme: 1., 1. 1., 1. 1. 1., without going beyond the third level.

The bold letter, the underline or the capital letters will not be used to highlight, only must be use Italic. Words in foreign languages (not English) will be highlighted in italics.

If it is necessary to include parentheses within parentheses, the brackets will be used instead of the internal parentheses: (... [...]...).

Style: A good research and an academic essay improve if they are exposed clearly and simply. Also, criticism of ideas can be done without belittling others.

Footnotes: Footnotes should be kept to a minimum.

Structure: IMRD is the canonical pattern.

Tables, graphs and figures: Tables should be numbered before its title. The same applies for graphs and figures. Tables, graphs, figures, photos, etc. must be presented in JPG format in the same typography of the rest paper.

The information about tables or graphs will be included under the corresponding image, with 10 points Times New Roman font, with centered alignment, in lowercase letters (except the initials that are in capital letters) and without a final period, accordingly. With the following model:

**Figure 1.** Lorem ipsum lorem ipsum. Source...

**Table 1.** Lorem ipsum lorem ipsum. Source...

**Graph 1.** Lorem ipsum lorem ipsum. Source...

References and author citations: According to APA6 rules. For instance, depending on the case, (Elias, 1987), (Elias, 1987; Varela, 2000), (Elias, 1987, p. 32), Elias (1987, p. 32). If several works by the same author were published in the same year, they should be differentiated by letters (a, b, c, etc.) following the year of publication.

Textual citations, if inside a paragraph, have to be highlighted by means of “quotation marks”. If in a separate paragraph, plus the “quotation marks”, the left side should be indented 0.75 cm.

Others: All pages should be numbered.

BIBLIOGRAPHY (REFERENCES)

Listed in full in alphabetic order at the end of the paper and limited to the works mentioned in the text. APA6 rules should be followed, as in these examples:

**Books, one author**

**Books, several authors**


**Book chapter, one author**


**Book chapter, several authors**


**Articles, one author**


(Do not abbreviate the title of the journal.)

**Articles, several authors**


(Do not abbreviate the title of the journal.)

**Articles from online journals**

In general, if any publication (book, edition, book chapter, article ...) is available on a web page (URL), and has no uniform resource identifiers (URI) such as DOI (Digital Object Identifier) or Handle (persistent identifier used by the repositories), the URL will be included at the end of the reference, indicating the date of consultation

As previously indicated (one or several authors), adding the link. For example:


If the publication has an uniform resource identifiers, such as DOI or Handle, it will be included at the end of the reference, without including the URL or date of query. Examples:


It is necessary to indicate the DOI in all texts that have it. To check which of the cited works have DOI you can use the Simple Text Query tool ([http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/](http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/)), following these steps:

**Other internet resources**

Hodgkin, K. G. (2014). *Schooling, Physical Education and the Primary-Secondary Transition*. Cardiff Metropolitan University, unpublished PhD thesis. Retrieved from [https://repository.cardiffmet.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/10369/6525/PhD%20in%20Full%20June.pdf;jsessionid=9F2A4EC8D6723.DC5A072FB0D16DDAD8C](https://repository.cardiffmet.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/10369/6525/PhD%20in%20Full%20June.pdf;jsessionid=9F2A4EC8D6723.DC5A072FB0D16DDAD8C).

**Conflict of interest**

Among other situations, conflict of interest arises when an author of a manuscript submitted to this series is a member of the Editorial Team, has a direct personal or professional relationship, or is closely related with previous or current research carried out by a member of the Editorial Team. Specifically, members of the Editorial Team should recuse themselves from participation in handling the manuscript when they are involved in any of the situations or similar situations described below, in relation with an author of the manuscript:

— Family relationship

— Manifest personal friendship or animosity

— Belonging to the same research group

— Serving presently or having served as PhD degree advisor or co-advisor within the previous 10 years

— Obtaining a PhD degree with an author as advisor or co-advisor within the previous 10 years

— Collaborating presently or within the previous 5 years in publications or patents

— Collaborating in other economic or scientific-technological activities

— Having a contractual relationship or sharing national or international research funding from public or private entities or any other type of entity within the previous 3 years.

The Editorial Team must also refrain from choosing reviewers who are or who may be involved in any of these situations. When single-blind reviewing is used (i.e. only the reviewer is
anonymous), the reasons for recusal stated above must be clearly highlighted on the evaluation form, so that reviewers are aware of the situation and have the option to opt out.

**Originality and plagiarism**

All authors must ensure that the data and results reported in the manuscript are original and have not been copied, fabricated, falsified or manipulated. Plagiarism in all forms, self-plagiarism, multiple or redundant publication, and data fabrication or manipulation constitute serious ethical failings and are considered scientific fraud. Authors must not submit to this series any manuscript that is simultaneously under consideration by another publisher and must not submit their manuscript to another publisher until they are notified that it has been rejected or have voluntarily withdrawn it from consideration. However, a chapter that builds upon an item published previously as a short report, brief communication or conference abstract may be published as long as it appropriately cites the earlier source it is based on, and as long as the new manuscript represents a substantial modification of the previous publication.

**Code of Conduct:**

This collection adheres to the [Code of Conduct](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) established by the Committee on Publications Ethics (COPE).

This academic collection is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonComercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/]